



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,561	04/25/2001	Yann Cheri	35451/127 (3626.Palm)	7494
26371 75	90 11/21/2003	EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308			2676	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. 09/842,561 CHERI ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Antonio A Caschera 2676 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8-17. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: Marker (. Bella

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of Dutta and Helms discloses at least two light sensors detecting ambient light conditions near the front surface of the display as the term, "near" is vague and thus broadly interpreted by the office. The term, "near" as defined in the "Merriam-Webster Collegiate Dictionary, 10th edition," states, "1: at, within a short distance" (see page 774). The office interprets the photodetectors of Helms, "within a short distance" from the display. Further, the rejection of claims 8-12 and 13-17 has been withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments filed in the Request for Reconsideration, paper no. 8. Neither Dutta nor Helms explicitly disclose detecting ambient lighting conditions in a mobile electronic device whereby the multiple lighting conditions are on a same side of the display of the device.